JOINT WORK STATEMENT

JWS/CRADA #_____

{Project Title}	
{Submittal Date}	

A. Data Table

1.	Summary/OSTI Abstract

2. Participant(s) Name and Address

(NAME) (Mailing or Street Address) (City, State, Zip) (Telephone Number)

(NAME) (City, State, Zip) (Telephone Number)

3. Participant Type (check all that apply)

	Participant One	Participant Two
Foreign	G	G
University	G	G
Small Business	G	G
Large Business	G	G
State or Local Government	G	G
Not-for-Profit	G	G
National Laboratory	G	G

4. Funding Table

	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		
Funding Type	Funds-in	*In-kind	Funds-in	*In-kind	Funds-in	*In-kind	Totals
Participant 1							
Participant 2							
Dept. of Energy							
Totals							
Federal Admin Charge if applicable							

*Note: If applicable, the contractor has reviewed the participant(s) proposed in-kind contribution and based on **G** experience, **G** financial analysis, and/or **G** sound business judgment has determined the estimated in-kind contribution(s) is/are reasonable.

- 5. Identify the DOE mission area (**G** Energy, **G** Environmental Quality, **G** Science, **G** National Security, **G** Other) that will benefit from this CRADA. If other, please explain.
- 6. How does the proposed CRADA benefit DOE, Participant, and U.S. Taxpayer? (Some facilities require textual explanation; others provide a checklist for each stakeholder. An example follows.)

EXAMPLE:

DOE Benefit: G Assists laboratory achieve programmatic scope, G adds new capability to the laboratory's core competencies, G enhances the laboratory's core competencies, G uses the laboratory's core competencies, and/or G enhances U.S. competitiveness by utilizing DOE-developed intellectual property and/or capabilities.

DOE Program Manager		
Telephone No.		
B&R Code and/or FWP Number		
The Proposed CRADA will be based upon	G Modular CRADA,	G Short Forr
CRADA, G Multilab CRADA, G USIC, (identify)	G other	

B. Special Considerations

G Yes	d Intellectual Property (e.g., inventions or copyrightable software, etc.)? G No
If yes, list	(1)
	(2)
	(3)
	any interested in licensing Background Intellectual Property? G Yes G No tify any known impediments for such licensing.
	or animal subjects involved in this project? G Yes G No fore the CRADA can be executed, approvals must be obtained from the Institutional
	ard or the Animal Care and Use Committee.)
Review Bo	
Review Bo Have all ne completed? The laborat completed	cessary environmental, safety, health and quality (NEPA) reviews been satisfactorily G Yes G No (explain) ory is responsible for obtaining OCI Certificates and will maintain in the file the OCI Certificates for each employee with a substantial role in this CRADA. Are there rational or personal conflict of interest issues associated with this CRADA?

- 7. How was Fairness of Opportunity determined? **G** Participant approached laboratory **G** Participant responded to CBD **G** Participant was contacted by laboratory after or during broad public announcement. (Supporting documentation is to be maintained in CRADA file.)
- 8. Did the Participant require any substantive/material changes to the laboratory-/field-approved modular CRADA and/or any changes to double-underlined language? **G** Yes **G** No If yes, attach copies of the proposed modified articles, and (if applicable) Participant's U.S. Competitiveness worksheet/justification. If requested by the Contracting Officer, the Contractor will provide justification for modified articles in writing.

9.	Additional Notes: If applicable, identify special considerations or comments.

If applicable, add approval signature blocks for DOE and the Contractor.